

SCWP GRANTS PROGRAM DRAFT PLAN - AS OF 6.27.24
Part D: Evaluation Template



ORGANIZATION APP ID		ORGANIZATION NAME:						
REVIEWER NAME:		INITIAL HERE TO VERIFY THAT YOU DO NOT HAVE A FINANCIAL RELATIONSHIP OR OTHER ENGAGEMENT TO THE APPLICANT THAT PRESENTS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST:						
QUESTION	SCORE	REVIEWER	Low					High
			1	2	3	4	5	
SCWP Alignment (max 10 points) Does the proposal clearly demonstrate how grantees will advance one or more objectives of the SCWP Grants Program, in support of overall SCWP goals? Grants Program Objectives: - Increase community awareness of the SCWP and support community members to envision their own stormwater solutions. 1 - Support the development of stormwater project concepts that are responsive to community needs and priorities. - Engage community members in active stewardship of neighborhood green stormwater infrastructure. - Increase community understanding of stormwater management in the context of LA County's water systems, particularly in areas that are most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change.			Proposal does not identify how grantees will educate and engage communities about the SCWP.	There is little indication as to how grantees will educate and engage communities about the SCWP.	There is some indication as to how grantees will educate and engage communities about the SCWP. Goals and strategies are present but lack detail or clarity.	There is considerable indication as to how grantees will educate and engage communities about the SCWP. Goals and strategies are present and some details are provided.	Proposal clearly demonstrates how grantees will educate and engage communities about the SCWP, and outlines clear strategies and goals in detail.	
	Does the proposal clearly demonstrate how it will strengthen community understanding of/participation in/access to the SCWP?			Proposal does not clearly demonstrate how it will strengthen community understanding of/participation in/access to the SCWP.	N/A	Proposal somewhat focuses on strengthening community understanding of/participation in/access to the SCWP, but may lack details as to how this goal will be achieved.	N/A	Proposal is centered around and clearly demonstrates how community understanding of/participation in/access to the SCWP will be enhanced and strengthened.
Feasibility (max 10 pts) Does the applicant demonstrate the experience/expertise necessary to effectively execute the proposed activities? Specifically, expertise in local water or environmental issues; and/or experience with community outreach and engagement; and/or managing multi-stakeholder collaborations to jointly address a community priority.			Applicant does not demonstrate expertise or previous experience with proposed work.	N/A	Applicant demonstrates some experience in the proposed work.	N/A	Organization has clear expertise and established experience in the type of work proposed.	
	Do the proposed budget and scope seem reasonable for achieving the proposal's intended outcomes?			Proposed budget and scope do not seem reasonable for achieving the proposal's intended outcomes.	N/A	Proposed budget and scope seem somewhat reasonable, but don't fully support the proposal's intended outcomes.	N/A	Proposed budget and scope seem reasonable for achieving the proposal's intended outcomes.
Communities Served (max 15 points) Does the proposal benefit Disadvantaged Communities in LA County as defined by the SCWP?			The proposal does not demonstrate benefits to Disadvantaged Communities.	N/A	Proposal integrates some equity considerations, but does not directly benefit Disadvantaged Communities.	N/A	Proposal clearly demonstrates how grant-funded activities will benefit Disadvantaged Communities.	
	Does the applicant demonstrate familiarity with the community or communities they intend to educate or engage?			Applicant has no demonstrated history of work within the communities they intend to engage, and does not demonstrate familiarity with the community or its needs.	Applicant has little demonstrated history of work within the communities they intend to engage, and has minimal familiarity with the community or its needs.	Applicant has some history of work within the community, and indicates some familiarity with its needs.	Applicant has a demonstrated history of work within the community, and indicates considerable familiarity with its needs.	Applicant has a long-standing history of effective work within the community, and indicates extensive familiarity with its needs.
	Does the proposal seek to address identified community needs; and/or assess community needs that have yet to be identified?			Proposal is not informed by community needs, and does not seek to identify or address them.	Proposal is minimally informed by community needs, and it is unclear how community needs would be identified or addressed.	Proposal is somewhat informed by community needs, and the applicant provides some information on how community needs will be identified or addressed through the grant-funded activities.	Proposal is considerably informed by community needs, and the applicant provides detailed information on how community needs will be identified or addressed through the grant-funded activities.	Proposal has a strong and clear focus on addressing or identifying community needs, and work is consistently informed by community input and engagement.
Do you recommend this proposal move forward for funding consideration? (select from dropdown)			1. Finalist - Strongly recommend for a grant. 2. Semi-Finalist - May be well positioned for a grant if resources are still available. 3. Possible - Could be a semi-finalist by clarifying a few specific questions. 4. Declined - Not a good fit for grant program.					
Recommended Grant Amount			1. Full Funding 2. Partial Funding 3. No Funding					
If you chose "Partial Funding" or "No Funding", please describe why and if there is a specific funding amount you recommend.								
Please list any questions you may have for the applicant.								
Application Strengths and Weaknesses			Please use this space to summarize the application's strengths and weaknesses. This is where you can note unique features of their application (e.g., innovative approaches, multiple benefits across different sectors). Are there aspects of this proposal that could be improved in order to make it a stronger candidate for funding?					